



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2646		
10/617,059	07/09/2003	Shane Atwell	022132-000510US			
20350	7590 01/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	AND TOWNSEND	VOGEL, N	VOGEL, NANCY S			
TWO EMBAR	RCADERO CENTER OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANCI	ISCO, CA 94111-3834	1636				

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/617,059	9	ATWELL ET AL.				
		[Examiner		Art Unit				
		,	Nancy T. V	-	1636				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appe	ars on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on							
2a) □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a	action is no	n-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition	for allowand	ce except f	or formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex</i>	parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the a	application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) 🗌	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-50 are pending in the case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The rejection is based on the Guidelines for the Examination of Patent

Applications under the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph "Written Description published in
the Federal Register (Volume 66, Number 4, Pages 1099-1111). Claims 1, 20, and 36
are drawn to a method of increasing soluble protein expressed in a host cell, comprising
co-expressing said protein with a phosphatase. Dependent claims recite various protein
types, cell types, vector types and phosphatase types. Claims 1-50 are genus claims in
terms of a method of increasing production of any protein, by co-expressing said protein
with any phosphatase, using any cell type and any vector as a host. The disclosure is
not deemed to be descriptive of the complete structure of a representative number of
species encompassed by the claims as one of skill in the art cannot envision all the

Application/Control Number: 10/617,059 Page 3

Art Unit: 1636

methods utilizing the encompassed polypeptides and cells based on the teachings of the specification. While the specification provides general information on increasing soluble amounts of certain proteins with certain phosphatase in certain cells, there is no disclosure of the precise identity or shared structures of polypeptides and/or phosphatases which, when co-expressed would result in the recited increased amount, i.e. . there is no structure/function analysis of said proteins or phosphatases which would provide evidence that applicants possessed the invention as claimed. Therefore, the specification does not describe the claimed method utilizing peptides substantially similar to at least a portion of any oomycete FtsZ-mt in such full, clear, concise and exact terms so as to indicate that Applicant has possession of the method at the time of filing the present application. Thus, the written description requirement has not been satisfied.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12, 14-17, 21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 12, 14-17 recite the limitation "said expression vector" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim on which the claims are dependent, ie claim 10.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,059 Page 4

Art Unit: 1636

Claims 21-29 recites the limitation "the protein or peptide is...", "wherein the host cell is...", "wherein the phosphatase is encoded in..." wherein an expression vector is use...", wherein said phosphatase and said protein or peptide..." in line 1 or line 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim on which the claims are dependent, i.e. claim 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 11, 12, 14, 36, 44, 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strovel et al. (Exper. Cell Res., 253:637-648, 1999).

Strovel et al. disclose a method comprising co-expressing a protein which is heterologous to the cell, and a phosphatase (see abstract, see page 639-640). It is considered that more soluble protein is obtained when said protein is co-expressed with the phosphatase than without said phosphatase, since it is disclosed in the instant application that this would be an inherent result. The two proteins are encoded by genes present on expression vectors on plasmids.

Art Unit: 1636

Claims 1-4, 7-9, 11-14, 36, 38, 41-42, 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kholod et al., (Biotechniques, Vol. 31, 2, pp: 322-328, 2001.

Kholod et al. disclose a method comprising co-expressing in E. coli, a protein which is heterologous to the cell, which is a kinase, and a phosphatase. It is considered that more soluble protein is obtained when said protein is co-expressed with the phosphatase than without said phosphatase, since it is disclosed in the instant application that this would be an inherent result. The two proteins are encoded by genes present on expression vectors on plasmids.

Double Patenting

Applicant is advised that should claim 4 be found allowable, claim 7 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nancy T. Vogel whose telephone number is (571) 272-0780. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 - 3:00, Monday - Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,059 Page 6

Art Unit: 1636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ntv 12/23/05 ÍANCY VOGÉL, PH.D. PATENT EXAMINER